

Non-examination Assessment Policy

Vision: Grow - Flourish - Succeed

Mission: Together we inspire creative, mindful learners who value diversity, support one another and strive

for success.

This policy is reviewed annually to ensure compliance with current regulations.

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment. The regulators' definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, any type of assessment that is not:

- set by an awarding body
- designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment) is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA).

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'. (JCQ's Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, Foreword) This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA.

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities for non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments.

The policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment. (NEA, section 1).

What are non-examination assessments?

Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers. There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- · task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking. (NEA, section 1)

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities



The basic principles

Head of Centre/Examinations Centre Manager

- Returns a declaration (managed as part of the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of <u>NEA</u>
- Ensures the centre's Non-examination Assessment Policy is fit for purpose and covers all types of non-examination assessment.
- Ensures the centre's Internal Appeals Procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking.

Senior Leaders (SLT)

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with NEA and awarding body subject-specific instructions.
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates.
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria.
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers.
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates.
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Subject Head of Department (HoD)/Lead

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process.
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements).
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers.

Subject Teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in <u>NEA</u>.
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subjectspecific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website.
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body.
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries.

Examinations Officer

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ NEA publication to relevant centre staff.
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment.



Task setting

Subject Teacher

- Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification.
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work.

Issuing of tasks

Subject Teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body.
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates.
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times.
- Ensures the correct task is issued to candidates.

Task taking

Supervision

Subject Teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements.
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated.
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own.
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own.
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates.
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments and Information for candidates Social Media.</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ *Information for candidates' documents*.

Advice and feedback

Subject Teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task.
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or writing frames specific to the task.
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates.
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level.
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner.
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it.

Resources



- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks.
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place.
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically.
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates.
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions.
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and time limits

Subject Teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory.

Collaboration and group work

Subject Teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work.
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates.
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment.
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually.

Authentication procedures

Subject Teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification:
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work.
 - o signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met.
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has
 passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is
 later.
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector (Electronic signatures are acceptable).
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in <u>NEA</u> and informs a member of the GFS Senior Leadership Team (SLT)
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the centre to zero.

Presentation of work

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution.
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions.



- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work.
- Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements.

Keeping materials secure

Subject Teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session).
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored.
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8.
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking.
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted.
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series.
- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed.
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed
 or partially completed work on-line on social media or through any other means (Reminds candidates
 of the contents of the JCQ document *Information for candidates Social Media*).
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the protection and back-up
 of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access to it between
 sessions.
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the
 deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided
 that the originals are stored securely as required.

IT Manager

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically.
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software.
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained.
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable.

Task marking - externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject Teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of
 a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body
 and where applicable, according to JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations.
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component.

Examinations Officer

 Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification.



• Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ *Instructions for conducting examinations*.

Submission of work

Subject Teacher

Pays close attention to the completion of the attendance register, if applicable.

Examinations Officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where applicable.
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly.
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body.
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series.
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label.
- Ensures that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened.
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline.

Task marking – internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Head of Centre/Examinations Centre Manager

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person who has a close
 personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of their family (which includes stepfamily, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g.
 son/daughter).
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation, whether it is part of the moderation sample or not.

Subject Head of Department (HoD)/Leader

 Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline.

- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process.
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria.
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process.
- Ensures candidates are informed of the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the centre's
 internal appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be
 submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding
 body.



Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence.
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.).
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by:
 - obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course;
 - o holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking;
 - o carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period;
 - o after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments;
 - o making final adjustments to marks prior to submission, retaining work and evidence of standardisation.
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out.

Subject Teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking.
- Marks to common standards.
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned
 or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later.

Consortium arrangements

Subject Head of Department (HoD)/Leader

- Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead).
- If the consortium lead, liaises with the exams officer to ensure the relevant awarding body is informed that the centre is part of a consortium by submitting Form JCQ/CCA Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work for each exam series affected.
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed.

Subject Teacher

- Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline.
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline.
- Retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for reviews of results for the
 exam series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed,
 whichever is later.

Examinations Officer

- Where the centre is the consortium lead:
 - submits an online notification of Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work to the relevant awarding body through the Centre Admin Portal (CAP) by no later than the published deadline for each exam series affected;
 - o submits marks for home centre candidates to the awarding body deadline;
 - where relevant, liaises with the other exams officers in the consortium to arrange despatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline.

Submission of marks and work for moderation

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline.
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors.



- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external
 deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams
 officer to the internal deadline.
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the
 relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the
 moderator in addition to the sample requested.
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required.
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provides the exams officer
 with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body.

Examinations Officer

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the
 marks submitted, to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been
 submitted to the awarding body deadline.
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors.
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline.
- Ensures that for postal moderation:
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body;
 - o moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging;
 - o proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of results.
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required.
- Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body.

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject Teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample.
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period.
- In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place.
- If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings.

Examinations Officer

 Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention.

External moderation – the process

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work.
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work.
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking.



External moderation - feedback

Subject Head of Department (HoD)/Leader

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published.
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before
 the next exam series.

Examinations Officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff.
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration.

Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

Subject Teacher

 Works with the ALS lead/SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments.

Head of Inclusion

Additional Learning Support (ALS lead)/Special Educational Needs Coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments</u> in relation to non-examination assessments including <u>Reasonable Adjustments for GCE A-level sciences Endorsement of practical skills.</u>
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal
 way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where
 required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place.
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments.
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met.
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role.

Special consideration and loss of work

Subject Teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work.
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments.
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body.

Examinations Officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process
 - Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale.
 - Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale.
 - Keeps required evidence on file to support the application.
- Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to <u>Form 15 JCQ/LCW</u> and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body.



Malpractice

Head of Centre/Examinations Centre Manager

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates or centre staff.
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials has been breached, the breach must be report to the awarding body).
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice</u>: <u>Policies and Procedures</u>.
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates
 producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that
 teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice
 constitutes malpractice.

Subject Teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to mitigate against</u> candidate and centre malpractice.
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments.
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination</u> <u>assessments.</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the Head of Centre.

Exams officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice</u>: <u>Policies and Procedures</u> to the Head of Centre.
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work</u> to subject heads (HoDs).
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates' documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice.

Post-results services

Head of Centre/Examinations Centre Manager

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Post-Results Services</u>.
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a review of results or an appeal.

Subject Head of Department (HoD)/Leader

Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results.

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available.
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline.



Examinations Officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication Post-Results Services (Information and guidance to centres...).
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline.

Private candidates

Subject Head of Department (HoD)/Leader

- According to centre policy, confirms if private candidates (including distance learners and home educated candidates) are accepted by the centre for entry for subjects containing components of nonexamination assessment (where the specification may be made available to private candidates by the awarding body).
- Ensures relevant staff in the centre administer all aspects of the non-examination assessment process for a private candidate, according to the awarding body's specification.



Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Centre staff malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with and follow: • the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments • the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work - www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments	Examinations Manager
Candidate malpractice	Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they must not: • submit work which is not their own • make available their work to other candidates through any medium • allow other candidates to have access to their own independently sourced material • assist other candidates to produce work • use books, the internet or other sources without acknowledgement or attribution • submit work that has been word processed by a third party without acknowledgement • include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material Records confirm that candidates have been made aware of the JCQ documents Information for candidates - non-examination assessments and Information for candidates — Social Media - www.jcq.orq.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents and understand they must not post their work on social media	Heads of Departments (HoDs) Examinations Manager
	Task setting	
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date	Examinations Manager
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	Heads of Departments (HoDs) Examinations Manager
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	Heads o Departments (HoDs)



Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Subject teacher long	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence	
erm absence during	at key points in the exam cycle)	THE SHIP I
the task setting stage		
	Issuing of tasks	
Awarding body set task	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the	Heads of
not issued to	specification noted prior to start of course	Departments
candidates on time	Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task	(HoDs)
	will be issued and needs to be completed by	
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing	Examinations
	and teaching	Manager
The wrong task is given	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding	Heads of
to candidates	body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to	Departments
	candidates	(HoDs)
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	
Subject teacher long	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence	
term absence during	at key points in the exam cycle)	
the issuing of tasks		
stage		
A candidate (or	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample	Subject
parent/carer)	which will be recorded	Teacher
expresses concern	Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable	
about safeguarding,	to record the required number of candidates for the monitoring sample	Heads of
confidentiality or faith		Departments
n undertaking a task		(HoDs)
such as a presentation		
that may be recorded		Examinations
,		Manager
	Task taking	
Supervision		1-
Planned assessments	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course	Heads of
clash with other centre	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	Departments
or candidate activities	Francisco de la composición dela composición de la composición de la composición de la composición dela composición dela composición dela composición de la composición de la composición de la composición de la composición dela composición de la composición dela composición dela composición dela composición dela composición dela composición dela composi	(HoDs)
	hard integrands and the eight to remark the material and an arrival	THE STATE OF
		Examinations
		Manager
Rooms or facilities	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for	Examinations
nadequate for	the start of the course	Manager
candidates to take	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number	-0
tasks under	of candidates	Examinations
appropriate	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the	Centre
supervision	same time (exam conditions do not apply)	Manager
nsufficient	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ	Heads of
supervision of	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments	Departments
candidates to enable	and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's	(HoDs)
work to be	specification in relation to the supervision of candidates	(11003)
authenticated	specification in relation to the supervision of cultulaties	
authenticated		



Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as	Examinations
	detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy	Manager
A candidate is	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions	Examinations
suspected of malpractice prior to	for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	Manager
submitting their work	An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary	Examinations
or assessment	procedures are followed	Centre
		Manager
Access arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	Head of
were not put in place	special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be	Inclusion
or an assessment	followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	(SENDCo)
where a candidate is		
approved for		Examinations
arrangements		Manager
		Examinations
		Centre
		Manager
Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record	Heads of
appropriate advice and	all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the	Departments
feedback not given by	centre's quality assurance procedures	(HoDs)
subject teacher prior	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off	
to starting on their	to confirm monitoring activity	Examinations
work	Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject	Manager
	and component	Examinations
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting	Centre
· .	on their work	Manager
Candidate claims no	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record	Heads of
advice and feedback	all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking	Departments
given by subject	stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	(HoDs)
teacher during the	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off	
task-taking stage	to confirm monitoring activity	Examinations
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates	Manager
	during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and	Fuereir etiene
	component	Examinations
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-	Centre
A shipping manager of the con-	taking stage	Manager Heads of
A third party claims	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are	
that assistance was	interviewed, and statements recorded where relevant.	Departments
given to candidates by	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given.	(HoDs)
the subject teacher	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the	Examinations
over and above that	awarding body	Examinations
allowed in the		Manager



Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
regulations and		Examinations
specification		Centre
Specification		Manager
Candidate does not	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before	Subject
reference information	work is submitted for formal assessment	Teacher
from published source	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for	reacties
nom published source	candidates: non-examination assessments	Heads of
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	Departments
	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	(HoDs)
Candidate does not set	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out	Subject
	of references before work is submitted for formal assessment	Teacher
	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for	reactiet
required	candidates: non-examination assessments	Heads of
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	Departments
Canalidate !=! !!	resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	(HoDs)
Candidate joins the	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch	Subject
course late after	up	Teacher
formally supervised		
task taking has started		Heads of
		Departments
		(HoDs)
Candidate moves to	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done	Subject
another centre during	depending on the stage at which the move takes place	Teacher
the course		
		Heads of
		Departments
		(HoDs)
An excluded pupil	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the	Examinations
wants to complete a		Manager
non-examination	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are	
assessment(s)	made separately for the candidate	Examinations
	and the state of t	Centre
		Manager
Resources		
A candidate augments	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept	Subject
notes and resources	secure between formally supervised sessions	Teacher
between formally	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and	
supervised sessions	kept secure between formally supervised sessions	Heads of
	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is	Departments
	restricted between formally supervised sessions	(HoDs)
A candidate fails to	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning,	
acknowledge sources	resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including	Subject
on work that is	books, websites and audio/visual resources	Teacher
submitted for		
assessment	candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records	
	acknowledges sources appropriately	



Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	Heads of Departments (HoDs)
Word and time limits		
A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood	Subject Teacher Heads of Departments (HoDs)
Collaboration and group	work	
Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Subject Teacher Heads of Departments
Authentication procedu		(HoDs)
A teacher has doubts	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ	Subject
about the authenticity of the work submitted	document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work	Teacher
by a candidate for internal assessment Candidate plagiarises	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as	Heads of Departments (HoDs)
other material	outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non- examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	Examinations Manager Examinations Centre
		Manager
Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document	Heads of Departments (HoDs)
	Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	Examinations Manager
		Examinations Centre
		Manager



Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	Heads of Departments (HoDs)
		Examinations Manager
		Examinations Centre
		Manager
Presentation of work		
Candidate does not fully complete the	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	Subject Teacher
awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked		Heads of
to their worked submitted for formal assessment		Departments (HoDs)
Keeping materials secur	re	
Candidates work	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ	Subject
between formal supervised sessions is	publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of	Teacher
not securely stored	appropriate secure storage	Heads of Departments (HoDs)
		Examinations Manager
Adequate secure storage not available	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course	Heads of Departments
to subject teacher	Alternative secure storage sourced where required	(HoDs)
		Examinations Manager
		Examinations Centre
		Manager
Candidates work produced	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments	Subject Teacher
electronically is not securely stored	Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit by IT Manager ensures:	Heads of
	 access to this material is restricted (insert how) appropriate security safeguards are in place (insert names/types of protection) 	Departments (HoDs)



Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
	 an effective back-up strategy is employed so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained (insert details of how work is backed up) 	Examinations Manager
	 any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable) to ensure the security of the data stored within it 	Examinations Centre Manager
	(insert relevant details of how)	Ividitage:
	Task marking – externally assessed components	
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request	Examinations Manager
acceptable reason	submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	Examinations Centre Manager
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Examinations Manager
	Task marking – internally assessed components	
A candidate submits little or no work	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed	Subject Teacher
	against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	Heads of Departments (HoDs)
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	Subject Teacher
		Heads of Departments (HoDs)
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 8), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	Subject Teacher
		Heads of Departments (HoDs)
		Examinations
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed	Manager



2000		
Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
A teacher assesses the	A possible conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding	Subject
work of a candidate with whom they have a	body before the published deadline for entries for each examination series	Teacher
close personal	Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation whether	Heads of
relationship e.g.	part of the sample requested or not	Departments
members of their		(HoDs)
family (which includes	of the contract of the contrac	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
step-family, foster		Examinations
family and similar		Manager
close relationships) or		
close friends and their		Examinations
immediate family (e.g.	and the feeless may have a four control of the second	Centre
son/daughter)		Manager
An extension to the	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted	Heads of
deadline for	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	Departments
submission of marks is required for a	special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	(HoDs)
legitimate reason	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examinations
108		Manager
		.
		Examinations
		Centre
		Manager
After submission of	Awarding body is contacted for guidance	Heads of
marks, it is discovered	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the	Departments
that the wrong task	special consideration process (section 2), to determine eligibility and the	(HoDs)
was given to	process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	
candidates		Examinations
		Manager
		Examinations
		Centre
		Manager
A candidate wishes to	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their	Heads of
appeal/request a	work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body	Departments
review of the marks	Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks	(HoDs)
awarded for their work	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change	Fire with the state of the stat
by their teacher	through the awarding body's moderation process	Examinations
	Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline	Manager
	set by the exams officer for the submission of marks	Examinations
	Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of	Centre
	the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the	Manager
	submission of marks to the awarding body	



Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Deadline for	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the	Subject
submitting work for	start of the course	Teacher
formal assessment not	Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood	
met by candidate	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to	Heads of
	determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the	Departments
	awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met	(HoDs)
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be	
	accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Deadline for	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic	Heads of
submitting marks and	year	Departments
samples of candidates work ignored by	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach	(HoDs)
subject teacher	Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers	Examinations
	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Manager
		Examinations
		Centre
		Manager
Subject teacher long	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence	
term absence during	at key points in the exam cycle)	
the marking period	_	



Date 04/09/2023

Senior Examinations Officer

Signed CU

Date 04/09/2013

Head of Secondary/Head of Centre

Signed

Date 04/09/2013

Associate Principal

Signed

Date 04/09/2023

CEO/Executive Principal

Senior Vice President - Education

Policy review date: September 2024